



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,418	05/01/2007	Herve Afriat	Q96415	7020
23373	7590	06/10/2009		EXAMINER
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037				SMITH, JASON C
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	
				MAIL DATE
				DELIVERY MODE
			06/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/590,418	Applicant(s) AFRIAT, HERVE
	Examiner Jason C. Smith	Art Unit 3617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(o).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 August 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 4-12 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-166/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/23/2006
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 08/23/2006 is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The instant claims are generally narrative in form, and replete with functional or operational language; wherein, it is not clear as to whether if the various elements as recited in the instant claims are intended to form parts of the instant claimed invention, or such elements are merely parts of the intended use environment of the instant claimed invention. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. Applicant is suggested to rewrite the instant claims in accordance with the U.S. practice to positively define the instant claimed invention. For example, in claim 1 lines 15 and 17, applicant refers to a first (41), numerals can be used in the claims but not only to serve as a place

Art Unit: 3617

holder for what applicant is referring. In claim 2, line 9, phases lacks antecedent basis.

There are multiple antecedent problems in claim 2.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 5-12 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only, and cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1, 3, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurtz (FR2825666) in view of Blackman (US3637956). Kurtz discloses a system for supplying extra low voltage electrical energy for at least one electrical traction vehicle (VC) running on a track and comprising: wheels (R) linked to said vehicle and rolling on said track, and at least one traction chain (R) of said vehicle acting on the wheels and comprising, in a manner known per se, at least one electric motor and its control, at least one extra low voltage power supply means installed in the immediate vicinity of the track, two power supply rails (RA) or similar power supply elements,

parallel to each other, adjacent or distant, of which a first is linked to a terminal of said power supply means and the second is linked to another terminal of said power supply means, at least one first electrical energy collection means (MC) on board the vehicle and placed in moving contact with said first rail, at least one second electrical energy collection means also on board the vehicle and placed in moving contact with said second rail, at least one electrical energy storage means on board the vehicle (page 4, line 25 - page 6, line 10, and figs. 1-4B, see also page 22, line 20 - page 21, line 23).

Kurtz discloses the system set forth above, but does not disclose an onboard power supply means. However, Blackman does disclose an onboard power supply means (col. 6, lines 10-27, see also col. 1, line 49 – col. 2, line 14, and figs. 1 and 8). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide an onboard supply means disclosed in Kurtz in view of the teaching of Blackman. The motivation for doing so would have been to power either the storage means or the traction chain; [claim 3] It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have multiple low voltage supply means', since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8. The motivation for doing so would have been in case one of them was not powered; [claim 4] see abstract and col. 1, line 10 - and col. 2, line 31.

7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurtz (FR2825666) in view of Blackman (US3637956) in view of Batisse (US6557476). Kurtz discloses the system set forth above, but does not disclose storing energy while

braking. However, Batisse does disclose this feature (col. 1 – col. 4). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide this feature disclosed in Kurtz in view of the teaching of Batisse. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide a way to store energy while braking.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason C. Smith whose telephone number is (571) 270-5225. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. Joseph Morano/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3617

/Jason C Smith/
Examiner, Art Unit 3617